## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

SMARTPHONE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	§	
	§	
	§	NO. 6:10cv74 LED-JDL
vs.	§	
	§	PATENT CASE
RESEARCH IN MOTION	§	
CORPORATION, et al.	§	
SMARTPHONE TECHNOLOGIES	§	
	· ·	
LLC,	§	
LLC,	§ §	NO. 6:10cv580 LED-JDL
LLC, vs.	§	NO. 6:10cv580 LED-JDL
	§	NO. 6:10cv580 LED-JDL  PATENT CASE

## <u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court are a number of motions. On February 13, 2013, the Court heard argument. Upon consideration of the parties' argument, the Court **ORDERS**<sup>1</sup> as follows:

- 1. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.'s Motion for Protective Order Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (c) (Doc. No. 508) is **GRANTED** as agreed.
- 2. Apple Inc. ("Apple") and AT&T Mobility LLC's ("AT&T") Motion to Sever and Stay (Doc. No. 396) is **GRANTED-IN-PART** and **DENIED-IN-PART**. The claims asserted against AT&T shall be stayed pending the resolution of the claims against Apple. While the claims against Apple will not be severed into a separate case with a new civil case number, Apple shall receive a trial separate from the LG Defendants, which will commence on April 8, 2013.
- 3. Apple and AT&T's Motion to Sever and Transfer, or in Alternative, Motion for a Separate Trial (Doc. No. 459) is **GRANTED-IN-PART** and **DENIED-IN-PART**. The motion to transfer is denied, but the motion for separate trial is granted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The following motions are filed in Action No. 6:10cv74, unless indicated otherwise.

- 4. Apple's Motion to Compel SmartPhone Technologies LLC ("SmartPhone") to Produce Documents (Doc. No. 462) is **GRANTED** as instructed. Accordingly, Apple's Request for Expedited Briefing (Doc. No. 497) regarding the Motion to Compel is **DENIED** as MOOT.
- 5. Apple's Emergency Motion to Compel SmartPhone to Produce Rule 30(B)(6) Witnesses for Supplemental Depositions (Doc. No. 490) is **GRANTED** as instructed.<sup>2</sup>
- 6. SmartPhone's Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions Pursuant to P.R. 3-6(b) (Doc. No. 442) is **GRANTED-IN-PART** and **DENIED-IN-PART**. Defendant Apple, SmartPhone may amend infringement contentions to include the iPhone 5, but may not amend to include the iPhone 4S, iPad 2, or iPad 3<sup>rd</sup> generation. As to the LG Defendants, SmartPhone's motion is denied.
- 7. SmartPhone's Motion for Leave to Amend Infringement Contentions Pursuant to P.R. 3-6(b) (Doc. No. 281)<sup>3</sup> against HTC is **GRANTED**.
- 8. AT&T and Apple's Motion for Leave to Amend Invalidity Contentions (Doc. No. 489) is GRANTED.
- 9. SmartPhone's Motion to Reduce Number of Prior Art Combinations (Doc. No. 486) is **DENIED** as **MOOT**, pursuant to the parties' representation that they will further meet and confer on these issues.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 14th day of February, 2013.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court's order as to Doc. Nos. 462 and 490 applies to all Defendants in both actions: Apple, AT&T, the LG Defendants and HTC Defendants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This motion pertains to Action No. 6:10cv580.